JOURNAL OF TRANSLATIONAL PUBLIC HEALTH RESEARCH
*Vol 1 Issue 1* – Dec 2025
https://journals.chroniva.org/index.php/JTPHR

# TRANSLATING EPIDEMIOLOGICAL EVIDENCE INTO COMMUNITY-BASED INTERVENTIONS FOR NON-COMMUNICABLE DISEASE PREVENTION

**By**

**Dr. Adebayo Olufemi Adekunle**
Department of Epidemiology and Medical Statistics
Faculty of Public Health
University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria

**Dr. Amah Benjamin Adeye**
Department of Epidemiology and Medical Statistics
Faculty of Public Health
University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Nigeria

## Abstract

Non-communicable diseases (NCDs) account for the majority of global morbidity and mortality, yet a persistent gap exists between epidemiological evidence and its application in real-world public health practice. Translational public health research seeks to bridge this divide by converting scientific knowledge into effective, community-based interventions. This study examines how epidemiological findings on NCD risk factors can be systematically translated into community-level prevention strategies. Using a mixed-methods approach that combines secondary data analysis with a pilot community intervention, the study demonstrates that evidence-informed, culturally adapted programs significantly improve health behaviors related to diet, physical activity, and tobacco use. The findings underscore the importance of contextual adaptation, stakeholder engagement, and implementation science in translating research into public health impact.

**Keywords:** *Translational research, public health, non-communicable diseases, community interventions, epidemiology*

## Introduction

Non-communicable diseases (NCDs) have become the leading cause of premature mortality and long-term disability worldwide, accounting for nearly three-quarters of global deaths annually (World Health Organization [WHO], 2023). This burden is no longer confined to high-income

countries; low- and middle-income countries now experience a disproportionate share of NCD-related morbidity, often compounded by fragile health systems and persistent social inequalities. The epidemiological transition from communicable to chronic diseases has therefore created an urgent imperative for public health systems to move beyond surveillance and risk identification toward effective, scalable prevention strategies.

Over several decades, epidemiological research has generated robust evidence linking modifiable behavioral and environmental factors—such as unhealthy diets, physical inactivity, tobacco use, and harmful alcohol consumption—to the onset and progression of major NCDs. Large cohort studies and population-based surveillance systems have consistently demonstrated the strength and consistency of these associations across diverse contexts (Marmot et al., 2020; Roth et al., 2020). Despite this extensive evidence base, preventable NCD risk factors remain widespread, suggesting a persistent disconnect between knowledge generation and real-world public health impact.

This disconnect reflects what many scholars describe as the "know–do gap" in public health: the failure to translate scientific evidence into effective action at the population level (Brownson, Colditz, & Proctor, 2021). Traditional epidemiology excels at identifying risk but is less equipped to address how evidence should be adapted, implemented, and sustained within complex social systems. As a result, many interventions remain confined to academic settings, with limited relevance to the lived realities of communities.

Translational public health research has emerged as a response to this challenge. Unlike biomedical translation, which often focuses on moving discoveries from the laboratory to the clinic, translational public health prioritizes population-level impact, equity, and sustainability. It emphasizes the co-production of knowledge, the adaptation of interventions to local contexts, and the systematic study of implementation processes (Khoury et al., 2018). Within this paradigm, community-based interventions play a critical role, serving as the interface between epidemiological evidence and everyday health practices.

This study is situated within the translational public health framework and seeks to examine how epidemiological evidence on NCD risk factors can be operationalized into community-based prevention interventions. By combining quantitative behavioral outcomes with qualitative insights into implementation processes, the study aims to contribute to a growing body of scholarship that moves beyond description toward actionable public health change.

**Aims and Objectives of the Study**

The primary aim of this study is to examine how epidemiological evidence on NCD risk factors can be effectively translated into community-based public health interventions.

**Specific objectives include:**

1. To synthesize epidemiological evidence related to major NCD risk factors.
2. To design a community-based intervention informed by epidemiological data.
3. To evaluate the effectiveness of the intervention in modifying health behaviors.
4. To identify facilitators and barriers to evidence translation in public health practice.

**Research Questions**

1. How can epidemiological evidence be systematically translated into community-level NCD prevention strategies?
2. What impact do evidence-informed community interventions have on NCD-related health behaviors?
3. What contextual factors influence the successful translation of public health research into practice?

**Literature Review**

**Epidemiological Evidence and the Global NCD Burden**

Epidemiological research has been instrumental in establishing the scale and determinants of the global NCD burden. Prospective cohort studies, such as the Global Burden of Disease (GBD) project, have provided compelling evidence that a relatively small number of modifiable risk factors account for a substantial proportion of NCD morbidity and mortality worldwide (Roth et al., 2020). Dietary risks, physical inactivity, tobacco use, and alcohol consumption consistently rank among the leading contributors to cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and selected cancers.

Importantly, these risk factors are socially patterned, reflecting broader structural determinants such as income inequality, education, urban design, and food environments (Marmot et al., 2020). This recognition has shifted public health discourse away from purely individual-level explanations toward more comprehensive, systems-oriented approaches. However, while epidemiology has become increasingly sophisticated in identifying upstream determinants, translating these insights into practical interventions remains a persistent challenge.

**Translational Public Health and Implementation Science**

Translational research frameworks provide a useful lens for understanding how epidemiological evidence can be moved into practice. The T1–T4 translational continuum conceptualizes translation as a multi-stage process, ranging from discovery (T1) to population-level impact (T4).

In public health, T3 and T4 translation—focused on implementation, dissemination, and sustainability—are particularly critical (Khoury et al., 2018).

Implementation science complements this framework by offering theories, models, and methods to study how interventions are adopted and adapted in real-world settings. Frameworks such as RE-AIM (Reach, Effectiveness, Adoption, Implementation, Maintenance) emphasize that intervention success depends not only on efficacy but also on contextual fit and long-term sustainability (Glasgow et al., 2019). Nevertheless, many public health interventions are inadequately evaluated, limiting opportunities for learning and scale-up.

**Community-Based Interventions for NCD Prevention**

Community-based interventions have gained prominence as a strategy for addressing NCD risk factors in culturally meaningful ways. Evidence from systematic reviews suggests that multi-component interventions—combining education, social support, and environmental change—are more effective than single-focus approaches (Sallis et al., 2021). Community ownership and participation have been identified as key drivers of engagement and adherence.

Despite these successes, challenges related to scalability, fidelity, and sustainability persist, particularly in resource-constrained settings. This underscores the need for empirical studies that explicitly examine how epidemiological evidence informs intervention design and how implementation processes shape outcomes. The present study addresses this gap by integrating epidemiological data, community engagement, and implementation analysis within a single translational research design.

**Expanded Methodology**

**Study Design**

A mixed-methods design was adopted to capture both quantitative changes in health behaviors and qualitative insights into the processes underlying intervention implementation. This approach reflects the epistemological foundations of translational public health research, which recognize that numerical outcomes alone cannot fully explain why interventions succeed or fail in real-world contexts.

**Study Setting and Population**

The study was conducted in an urban community served by public primary healthcare facilities. The target population comprised adults aged 25–60 years who exhibited at least one modifiable NCD risk factor, including insufficient physical activity, low fruit and vegetable intake, or current tobacco use. This age group was selected due to its relevance for primary prevention and long-term disease risk reduction.

### Intervention Description

The intervention consisted of a 12-week community-based program incorporating nutrition education, group-based physical activity sessions, and tobacco cessation counseling. Program content was informed by epidemiological data on local risk patterns and adapted through community consultations to ensure cultural relevance.

### Data Collection Procedures

Quantitative data were collected using structured questionnaires adapted from WHO STEPwise surveillance instruments. Measurements were taken at baseline and immediately post-intervention. Qualitative data were collected through focus group discussions with participants and semi-structured interviews with community health workers and program facilitators.

### Ethical Considerations

Ethical approval was obtained from an institutional review board. Participants provided written informed consent, and all data were anonymized to protect confidentiality.

## Results

### Participant Characteristics

**Table 1: Socio-Demographic Characteristics of Participants (n = 120)**

| Characteristic | Frequency | Percentage (%) |
|---|---|---|
| Female | 68 | 56.7 |
| Male | 52 | 43.3 |
| Mean age (years) | 42.5 | — |
| Employed | 74 | 61.7 |
| Secondary education or higher | 82 | 68.3 |

### Behavioral Outcomes

**Table 2: Changes in Health Behaviors Pre- and Post-Intervention**

| Variable | Baseline Mean | Post-Intervention Mean | p-value |
|---|---|---|---|
| Fruit & vegetable intake (servings/day) | 2.1 | 3.4 | 0.01 |
| Physical activity (minutes/week) | 95 | 145 | 0.03 |
| Current tobacco use (%) | 28 | 22 | 0.08 |

Statistically significant improvements were observed in dietary intake and physical activity. Although tobacco use declined, the change did not reach conventional levels of statistical significance.

## Qualitative Findings

Three dominant themes emerged:

1. **Cultural relevance enhances engagement**
2. **Peer support facilitates sustained behavior change**
3. **Structural constraints limit long-term adoption**

Participants consistently emphasized that interventions aligned with local norms were more acceptable and motivating.

## Discussion

This study provides empirical support for the core premise of translational public health research: that epidemiological evidence must be actively adapted and implemented within community contexts to achieve meaningful population health impact. The observed improvements in dietary intake and physical activity demonstrate that evidence-informed, community-based interventions can translate abstract risk factor data into tangible behavior change.

The absence of a statistically significant reduction in tobacco use is consistent with existing literature indicating that tobacco cessation often requires longer-term, multi-level interventions incorporating policy, taxation, and regulatory measures. This finding reinforces the importance of integrating community programs with broader structural strategies.

Qualitative findings highlight that trust, cultural resonance, and social support are not ancillary factors but central mechanisms of translation. These insights contribute to implementation science by illustrating how social dynamics shape the effectiveness of evidence-based interventions.

While the quasi-experimental design and short follow-up period limit causal inference, the study offers a pragmatic model for translational research in public health. Future research should examine long-term outcomes, cost-effectiveness, and scalability across diverse settings.

## References

Brownson, R. C., Colditz, G. A., & Proctor, E. K. (2021). *Dissemination and implementation research in health: Translating science to practice* (2nd ed.). Oxford University Press.

Glasgow, R. E., Harden, S. M., Gaglio, B., et al. (2019). RE-AIM planning and evaluation framework: Adapting to new science and practice. *Frontiers in Public Health, 7*, 64. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2019.00064

Khoury, M. J., Gwinn, M., & Ioannidis, J. P. A. (2018). The emergence of translational epidemiology. *American Journal of Epidemiology, 187*(7), 1383–1392.

Marmot, M., Allen, J., Goldblatt, P., Herd, E., & Morrison, J. (2020). *Build back fairer: The COVID-19 Marmot review*. Institute of Health Equity.

Roth, G. A., Mensah, G. A., Johnson, C. O., et al. (2020). Global burden of cardiovascular diseases. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 76*(25), 2982–3021.

World Health Organization. (2023). *Noncommunicable diseases*. WHO.