ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE, HUMAN RIGHTS, AND DIGITAL SOCIETIES IN AFRICA: ETHICAL CHALLENGES AND GOVERNANCE IMPERATIVES

By

Dr. Zainab Sadiya Musa
Department of Political Science
Bayero University, Kano, Nigeria
Email: zsmusa@buk.edu.ng

Abstract

Artificial intelligence (AI) technologies are increasingly shaping digital societies across Africa, influencing governance, security, welfare distribution, and civic participation. While AI offers opportunities for development and efficiency, its deployment also raises profound human rights concerns, including threats to privacy, equality, freedom of expression, and due process. This study examines the intersection of AI, human rights, and digital societies in African contexts, focusing on ethical risks, governance gaps, and institutional responses. Employing a mixed-methods approach, quantitative survey data were collected from 437 policymakers, legal practitioners, technologists, and civil society actors across Nigeria, Ghana, and Tanzania. These data were complemented by qualitative interviews with 31 human rights advocates and regulatory officials, alongside secondary analysis of constitutional provisions, regional human rights instruments, and AI-related policies. Quantitative findings reveal strong concern about AI's impact on equality, surveillance, and access to remedies, while regression analysis shows that perceived rights protection significantly predicts trust in AI-enabled governance. Qualitative insights highlight risks of automated exclusion, surveillance overreach, and accountability deficits in digital public systems. The study argues that current AI governance approaches in Africa insufficiently integrate human rights principles, resulting in ethical and legal vulnerabilities. The paper advances a rights-based governance framework that embeds human rights impact assessments, institutional accountability, and participatory oversight into African AI ecosystems. These findings contribute to scholarly debates on AI ethics and offer actionable guidance for policymakers seeking to align AI-driven digital transformation with human rights protection.

Keywords: Artificial intelligence, human rights, digital societies, Africa, ethical governance, AI regulation

Introduction

Digital technologies increasingly mediate social life, governance, and economic participation across African societies. Artificial intelligence now plays a central role in public service delivery, biometric identification systems, digital surveillance, predictive analytics, and online content moderation. While these technologies promise efficiency and innovation, they also raise critical concerns regarding the protection of fundamental human rights.

Globally, scholars and international institutions emphasize that AI systems can undermine rights to privacy, equality, freedom of expression, and due process if inadequately governed. In African contexts, these risks are compounded by historical inequalities, fragile institutions, and rapid digitalization occurring ahead of robust regulatory safeguards.

This study investigates how AI deployment intersects with human rights in African digital societies. By empirically examining stakeholder perceptions and governance practices, the research seeks to advance a context-sensitive, rights-based approach to AI governance.

Aims and Objectives

Aim

To empirically examine the human rights implications of AI deployment in African digital societies and assess the adequacy of existing governance responses.

Objectives

1. To analyze stakeholder perceptions of AI-related human rights risks.
2. To examine how AI systems affect rights to equality, privacy, and due process.
3. To assess the relationship between perceived human rights protection and trust in AI systems.
4. To propose rights-based governance strategies for AI in African societies.

Research Questions

1. How do stakeholders perceive the human rights impacts of AI in African digital societies?
2. What rights-related risks emerge from AI-driven governance and digital systems?
3. How does perceived human rights protection influence trust in AI-enabled institutions?
4. What governance mechanisms can ensure AI deployment aligns with human rights norms?

Literature Review

Human Rights in the Digital Age

Human rights frameworks, grounded in international instruments such as the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and regional charters, emphasize dignity, equality, and freedom from arbitrary interference. In digital societies, these rights increasingly intersect with data-driven technologies that shape access to resources and opportunities (Milan & Treré, 2019).

Scholars argue that digital technologies require reinterpretation of traditional rights protections, particularly in relation to surveillance, automated decision-making, and informational asymmetries (Mantelero, 2018).

AI and Rights-Based Ethical Frameworks

AI ethics literature increasingly adopts a rights-based approach, framing ethical concerns in terms of legal entitlements rather than abstract principles. This approach emphasizes non-discrimination, accountability, transparency, and access to remedy (Binns, 2018). Rights-based governance seeks to embed these values into institutional processes and regulatory mechanisms.

However, critics note that rights-based approaches may be weakened by lack of enforcement capacity, particularly in developing regions.

Equality, Non-Discrimination, and Algorithmic Harm

AI systems can undermine equality by reproducing historical biases embedded in data. Automated decision-making systems in welfare distribution, credit scoring, and policing have been shown to disproportionately disadvantage marginalized groups (Eubanks, 2018).

In African societies, inequalities based on ethnicity, gender, socio-economic status, and geography may be exacerbated by AI systems trained on incomplete or biased data (Birhane, 2021). These risks challenge constitutional commitments to equality and non-discrimination.

Surveillance, Security, and Freedom

AI-enabled surveillance technologies, including facial recognition and predictive analytics, raise concerns about freedom of expression, association, and privacy. Scholars warn that such technologies may enable authoritarian practices and suppress dissent (Zuboff, 2019).

In African contexts, surveillance technologies are often justified on grounds of security and development, raising ethical questions about proportionality, necessity, and oversight (Hintz et al., 2019).

Due Process, Accountability, and Access to Remedy

Automated decision-making challenges traditional notions of due process by obscuring decision logic and limiting avenues for appeal. Rights to explanation and remedy are increasingly emphasized in global governance frameworks but remain weakly institutionalized in many African jurisdictions (Mittelstadt, 2019).

African Human Rights Frameworks and Digital Governance

The African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights emphasizes collective rights, dignity, and social justice. Scholars argue that African human rights traditions offer valuable insights for AI governance, particularly regarding communal responsibility and social cohesion (Metz, 2017).

Research Gap

Despite growing global discourse, empirical research on AI and human rights in African digital societies remains limited. This study addresses this gap through mixed-methods analysis grounded in African contexts.

Methodology

Research Design

A convergent mixed-methods design integrated quantitative surveys, qualitative interviews, and secondary legal analysis.

Population and Sampling

The study targeted policymakers, judges, human rights advocates, AI practitioners, and civil society actors in Nigeria, Ghana, and Tanzania. Stratified sampling yielded 437 valid survey responses. Purposive sampling identified 31 interview participants.

Data Collection Instruments

- **Survey:** Measured perceptions of AI impacts on equality, privacy, due process, accountability, and trust.
- **Interviews:** Explored rights violations, governance gaps, and institutional responses.
- **Secondary Data:** National constitutions, regional human rights instruments, AI policy documents.

Reliability, Validity, and Ethics

Cronbach's alpha values ranged from 0.81 to 0.89. Ethical approval and informed consent were obtained.

Data Analysis

Quantitative data were analyzed using descriptive statistics and regression analysis. Qualitative data were thematically analyzed.

Results

Quantitative Findings

Table 1: Stakeholder Perceptions of AI and Human Rights (n = 437)

Dimension	Mean	SD
Risk to Equality & Non-Discrimination	3.69	0.83
Surveillance & Privacy Concerns	3.78	0.80
Protection of Due Process	2.71	0.92
Accountability Mechanisms	2.65	0.90
Trust in AI-enabled Governance	2.88	0.91

Regression analysis showed that perceived protection of human rights significantly predicted trust in AI systems ($\beta = 0.51$, $p < .01$).

Qualitative Findings

Key themes included:

1. **Automated Exclusion** – AI systems denying services without explanation.
2. **Surveillance Overreach** – Weak oversight of biometric and monitoring technologies.
3. **Accountability Deficits** – Lack of clear responsibility for AI-driven harms.

Discussion

The findings affirm that AI deployment in African digital societies is fundamentally a human rights issue. Stakeholders perceive significant risks to equality, privacy, and due process, reinforcing rights-based critiques of AI ethics.

Automated decision-making systems risk entrenching structural inequalities. Without deliberate corrective measures, AI may reproduce historical patterns of exclusion, undermining constitutional and regional human rights commitments.

AI-enabled surveillance threatens democratic participation when deployed without robust safeguards. The study highlights the need for proportionality, transparency, and independent oversight to prevent abuse.

Weak accountability mechanisms undermine trust and rights protection. Rights-based AI governance must ensure clear responsibility, access to explanation, and effective remedies for affected individuals.

The study advocates for integrating human rights impact assessments, participatory oversight, and institutional capacity-building into AI governance frameworks. African human rights traditions provide a normative foundation for such approaches.

This research empirically demonstrates that trust in AI-enabled governance is contingent on perceived human rights protection, advancing AI ethics theory beyond abstract principles toward enforceable rights-based governance.

Conclusion

AI technologies present both opportunities and risks for African digital societies. Without rights-based governance, AI deployment risks undermining fundamental human rights. Strengthening institutional safeguards and participatory oversight is essential for ethical AI adoption.

Contribution to Knowledge

This study contributes by:

1. Providing empirical evidence on AI and human rights in African contexts.
2. Demonstrating the link between rights protection and trust.
3. Advancing rights-based AI governance models grounded in African realities.

References

Binns, R. (2018). Fairness in machine learning. *Ethics and Information Technology*.

Birhane, A. (2021). Algorithmic injustice. *Patterns*.

Eubanks, V. (2018). *Automating inequality*.

Hintz, A., et al. (2019). Human rights and digital technologies. *Global Policy*.

Mantelero, A. (2018). AI and human rights. *Computer Law & Security Review*.

Metz, T. (2017). African values and human rights. *Journal of Human Rights*.

Milan, S., & Treré, E. (2019). Big data and human rights. *New Media & Society*.

Mittelstadt, B. (2019). Principles alone cannot guarantee ethical AI. *Nature Machine Intelligence*.

Zuboff, S. (2019). *The age of surveillance capitalism*.